

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/809,163	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GOODMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> FATOUMATA TRAORE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2136	

**All Participants:**

- (1) FATOUMATA TRAORE.  
(2) Bhavani S. Rayaprolu (Reg. 56,583).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 September 2008

**Time:** 1:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 18, 20, 23, 31, 32, 33, 41, 44, 45-49, 50 and 51

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Bhavani S. Rayaprolu(Reg. 56,583), to get authorization to amend claims 1, 6, 20, 23, 31, 32, 33, 41, 44, 50 and 51 in order to put the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)